



---

## The Business Evolution of the Video Game Industry

Updated on January 10, 2024<sup>1</sup>

### Contents

Introduction .....	2
Part 1: Hardware (Consoles).....	3
The Golden Age of Arcade Cabinets (1970s to 1980s).....	4
The Console Wars (1970s to now).....	5
Handhelds (1990s to now) .....	9
End of Part 1 .....	10
Part 2: Software (Games).....	11
A Brief History of Third-Party Developers .....	12
Casual Games Become Apps .....	14
First-Person Shooters Drive Distribution Methods .....	14
Role-Playing Games (RPGs) Introduce Monthly Subscriptions .....	16
Sports Games Become Big Brand Names .....	17
Strategy & Simulation Games as Buyout Candidates .....	18
End of Part 2 .....	19
Part 3: The Future of Gaming.....	19
eSports Become Real Sports.....	20
Ongoing Trends .....	22
End of Part 3 .....	26
Conclusion .....	26

---

<sup>1</sup> This version has been updated with more sources and source links, more recent data, minor corrections, and more ongoing trends.

## Introduction

Over the long run, business markets function like biology. Remnants from past generations exist in the present, and understanding where they came from (and why they were successful) is a good way to get a better picture of what the future might look like. The conditions that affected past business decisions are still relevant for today's markets. This model of thinking fits video games perfectly.

The video game industry seems like a young one made for young people, but it has a long history as the convergence of toys and technology. The earliest video game consoles were sold next to billiards and bowling balls. Mattel, the maker of Barbie, and Coleco, famous for its Cabbage Patch Dolls, introduced some of the first gaming devices.

As technology improved and the industry matured, video games became more of an experience than a toy. The scale of modern games matches Hollywood blockbusters, with budgets that top \$100 million and entertainment time that can easily reach thousands of hours. Game developers are entertainment giants that deserve serious attention.

This change has taken decades. It has relied on technology, but it also came from the creative influence of discovering new ways to use this technology. These innovations cover a few different themes, all of which are continuously improving:

- **Better Graphics:** From lines, to pictures, to 3d models, to realistic movie-like worlds, the past few decades have seen dramatic improvements in how games look.
- **New Genres:** As technology allowed better visuals, it also enabled more complex games. The first were simple arcade games, but they were eventually surpassed by more resource-intensive adventures games, shooting games, sports games, resource management games, and many others. Mobile phones now offer more casual games as well. There is something for everyone.
- **Bigger Scale:** There are still "indie" developer teams that only release smaller games, or only release a large game every few years, but gaming has become a big business. The rise of video game popularity came with a rise in the number of team members required to build a new game. The original arcade games of the 70s could be produced with a very small team, while modern teams can have hundreds of developers. This dramatic increase in scale is paralleled by the number of players who can participate in the same game. The maximum was once just two players, but modern multiplayer games can be populated with millions of players.

- Interaction between the player and the game: The first game controllers were simple and only moved in two directions. These evolved into controllers that gradually increased the number of buttons and possible movements. Now there are even more direct connections, with cameras that can track everything in the room and translate those actions to a game on a screen. There are also headsets that can make players feel like they are directly inside the game.

None of these ideas are relevant to a business history on their own. It's the combination of them all that simultaneously expands the way game developers and game publishers make money. Games are becoming more digital, more mobile, and more competitive, and these features all introduce more ways to make money.

But we're getting ahead of the story. The first thing we should know is how we got to where we are.<sup>2</sup>

## Part 1: Hardware (Consoles)

In the beginning, every new game required an entirely new system. Designing a new game was not like writing a new computer program. The mechanisms that control each part of the game had to be designed individually, like building a new computer specifically to run a single game.

As technology improved, electronic systems became capable of running more than one game, and the design process moved from placing microchips to programming. Over time, these game systems, called consoles, became more powerful, enabling more complex games and more immersive experiences. The competition shifted from which company has the best game to which one has the best "library" of games available for its console.<sup>3</sup>

The introduction of each new group of consoles is divided into different generations on the basis of what technology they use and when they were introduced. Each new generation was a race to reach the best combination of price, game library, console features, and timing. Getting any of those factors wrong meant that the company would struggle, but the most important was the game library. Missing on the game library could mean failing out of the industry, but getting it right could lead to success that extends to multiple generations.

---

<sup>2</sup> The earliest years of the industry are wonderfully cataloged in *The Ultimate History of Video Games* by Steven L. Kent. The book ends abruptly in 2001, but I have been following the industry closely since then.

<sup>3</sup> The most simplified way to understand the idea of a game library is to think of it as a movie store (or today's version, a movie streaming service). The store that has the largest variety of good movies (the best movie "library") will be the most popular.

## The Golden Age of Arcade Cabinets (1970s to 1980s)

The spiritual predecessor to modern video game systems is the coin-operated pinball machine. First invented in 1872 and [popularized in the 1930s](#), pinball machines became a cultural phenomenon that grew more sophisticated and more technologically advanced, slowly switching from mechanical systems to digital in the early 1970s. This shift, from mechanical to digital, generated the birth of the modern video game arcade cabinet.

It was the invention of the integrated circuit that made it possible to create a computer small enough to fit inside a “cabinet.” This led to dedicated gaming devices called arcade cabinets that began to fill restaurants and bars. Small computers were made for games.

The most famous was *Pong*, created by Atari in 1972. As a result of this game’s popularity, Atari quickly became the king of arcade cabinets, and followed the success of *Pong* with several innovative arcade games. At the same time, imitators and competitors began to appear. Every new game was followed by a similar copy, and other developers learned from Atari’s popularity. Midway, a company that published and distributed games but did not develop many of its own games, was Atari’s primary competitor.<sup>4</sup>

The industry [accelerated in the 1980s](#) as a series of famously popular games were released for arcade cabinets in the US, primarily from Japanese companies:

- *Space Invaders*, developed by Taito in 1978 and distributed by Midway.
- *Pac-Man*, developed by Namco in 1980 and distributed by Midway.
- *Frogger*, developed by Konami in 1981 and distributed by Sega.
- *Galaga*, developed by Namco in 1981 and distributed by Midway.
- *Donkey Kong*, developed by Nintendo in 1981, introduced the world to Mario, the world’s most well-known video game character.
- Atari also developed a series of iconic arcade games, including *Breakout* (1976), *Asteroids* (1980), *Battlezone* (1980), *Centipede* (1980), and several others, almost all of them representing important firsts in video game development.

While Atari maintained the dominant position in this market for many years, the competition eventually caught up with the company. In 1983, the video game industry crashed, and Atari collapsed (more on this later). Coin-operated arcade cabinets

---

<sup>4</sup> To understand the role of a publisher, think about the book writing industry. The game developer in this comparison would be writer, while the game publisher would be the same as the book publisher. It is common for game developers to be their own publishers or be owned by a publisher.

continued to be produced, but they were gradually replaced by video game consoles that could be played on a TV inside the player's home. Video game players would no longer need to carry quarters to an arcade.

The golden age of arcade cabinets was marked by a few major acquisitions, but the one worth following is Atari. Warner Communications purchased Atari in 1976 and sold the arcade division to Namco in 1985.

## **The Console Wars (1970s to now) <sup>5</sup>**

The console wars are traditionally divided into generations by time period and technology. Each generation represents a major advancement from the previous one, and every console had at least one feature or advantage that made it different from the others, but what's important for this summary of the market is the general strategy for competing within each generation. Where those strategic thoughts are significant, I made sure to highlight them.

### **First Generation**

The first home video game system was made by Magnavox in 1972, but it was Atari's conversion of *Pong* into *Home Pong* in 1975 that marked the introduction of home consoles. Like the arcade cabinets of the time, *Home Pong* was also widely copied by many other companies entering this new industry. But these consoles were primitive. Gamers could only play the games that were hard wired into each individual console.

### **Second Generation**

The second generation of consoles created a new market by adding microprocessors in the hardware (inside the console) and storing the games on removable cartridges. Instead of buying an entirely new system to play a new game, gamers could buy one system and then buy many games to play on one console. A console could now have a game library.

The market blew wide open: it now included serious tech companies such as Fairchild and RCA, but also toy companies such as Mattel and Coleco. This generation saw the release of 18 different consoles.

Among this chaotic competition, it was Atari, with its Atari 2600, that owned this market. The Atari 2600, which sold 30 million units, still ranks among the best-selling consoles.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup> There are many articles about the console wars. [HowStuffWorks](#) has good technical descriptions of the console generations, while [Gamicus](#) and [history.com](#) have detailed play-by-play explanations, and [Smithsonian](#) has a good look at early video game history. The best resource for sales details on each generation can be found in [Video Game Sales Wiki](#).

<sup>6</sup> It continues to be popular today among collectors of old computer hardware.

The variety and quality of Atari games, some of which were transferred from its arcade business, were unrivaled. No other console of that generation came close. In 1981, Atari achieved a 75% market share in the console game market.

But overconfidence overcame the industry. Console makers, believing that gamers would buy *any* game, regardless of quality, flooded the market with a series of terrible games. The defining symbol of this excess was Atari's *E.T.* game, rushed into production and released in December of 1982. It is widely regarded as the worst game of all time, and it is considered the game that killed Atari and triggered the video game crash of 1983.<sup>7</sup> The crash lasted for two years, devolving into price wars that wiped out most of the smaller console producers.

### **8-Bit Generation**

In 1985, Nintendo revived the industry. It already had a successful console called the Famicom in Japan, but it struggled to extend the system's popularity. Nintendo had approached Atari in 1983 about a partnership to bring the Famicom to America, but they could not make a deal before Atari's collapse.

Nintendo eventually persuaded skeptical American retailers to sell the new system as a toy (no one wanted to try selling video games). The Famicom, redesigned and renamed the Nintendo Entertainment System (NES) for the US market, filled the void that Atari left behind. It became the most popular console of the 8-bit generation, earning a 94% market share in North America by 1989, and going on to sell over 60 million units worldwide. Nintendo's console was more advanced and its games were simply better. *Super Mario Bros.*, a game that was packaged with most NES consoles, is still one of the best-selling games of all time. Nintendo cared about quality, and this attention to what customers wanted made it the master of the 8-bit generation.

### **16-Bit Generation**

Nintendo followed the success of the NES with the 16-bit Super NES (SNES), released in the US in 1991. But a serious new competitor had emerged. At the end of 1991, Sega's 16-bit Genesis console had a 55% market share in the US, while Nintendo had 45%. The SNES was better, but the Genesis had more games (a larger video game library).

---

<sup>7</sup> It is more accurate to say that poor management killed Atari. Warner Communications, by this time the parent company for Atari, destroyed the video game company's culture and replaced it with bureaucracy. The vision from the company's original team was stifled by demands from the parent company. Key employees were easily poached by more successful competitors. From 1983 to 1985, Atari's different divisions were torn apart and sold away. Atari produced consoles into the mid-90s, but they continually declined in popularity until the company left the market.

Competition between the two rival companies became bitter. Both fought for the attention of game developers and raced towards the introduction of CD ROM games as PC computers began to encroach on the games market. In the end, the SNES won, selling almost 50 million units, while the Sega Genesis sold about 30 million units. The competition, however, was close, and both companies nearly exhausted their resources preparing for the next generation. 1994 became a bad year for the industry.

## **Fifth Generation**

In the fifth generation of consoles, computer technology improved enough to allow truly 3D games. In this generation, it was Sony's PlayStation, released in the US in 1995, that rose to the top. Originally intended to be a collaboration between Nintendo and Sony, Nintendo arrogantly overplayed its hand, believing that it could win any console war by default. Insulted by Nintendo, Sony decided to develop the PlayStation on its own.<sup>8</sup>

Sony's plans were different from the traditional console competition. It focused heavily on attracting developers to its new system, and encouraged a large library of good games to be developed quickly. Sony and Sega used disks for their console systems, while Nintendo used a much more expensive cartridge. Sony's console was also the cheapest available on the market, and the only one that targeted a demographic beyond teenagers.

By the end of this console generation, the industry's ancillary competitors were almost entirely pushed out of the market: only Sony, Nintendo, and Sega were relevant. The PlayStation, which stayed in production for more than ten years and had a game library of almost 9,000 games, sold over 100 million units. Nintendo's N64 system sold a respectable 30 million units (still far behind Sony), while Sega began to fade. Sony maintained a 47% worldwide market share throughout this generation, while Nintendo rose from 28% to 40%, and Sega fell from 23% to just 12%.<sup>9</sup>

## **Sixth Generation**

In the sixth generation of consoles, Sony did even better. Sony followed the success of the PlayStation with the PlayStation 2 (PS2), which it released in 2000. Once again focused on its game library, Sony chose to make the PS2 "backwards compatible" with the original PlayStation game console. This meant that anyone who bought a PS2 would be able to play all of their older PlayStation games *and* any new games that were developed for the PS2. The backwards compatible strategy had been used by other companies before, but it was uncommon because cartridge design would change with

---

<sup>8</sup> Nintendo must have forgotten the lesson from Atari's downfall a decade earlier.

<sup>9</sup> Sony's dominance in the late 90s was catalogued at the [end of the decade](#).

every generation. With a CD ROM system, the CD could be made the same size for the next generation, allowing newer consoles to play older games.<sup>10</sup> The PS2 also included a built-in DVD player, adding to its popularity (most PS2 consoles were primarily used as DVD players in the first few months of its launch, partly because there were few PS2 games available at the time).

The PS2 sold more than 150 million units in its 13-year lifetime, and remains the best-selling console of all time.

In second place, however, was an entirely new competitor. Microsoft's first console, the Xbox, sold 24 million units. It was an extension of Microsoft's original vision. From "a computer in every home" to a full entertainment system, the Xbox was intended to be a powerful computer in a console box. The console's power, and support of games intended to take advantage of that power, were heavily subsidized by Microsoft's other businesses. Creating such a big market for a new console was an impressive achievement, but it still could not match Sony's massive library of games.

Nintendo's troubled Gamecube was next, with 21 million units sold. Sega had exhausted its resources, and was unable to produce a competing console. The company shifted strategy to only developing games.

### **Seventh Generation**

In the seventh generation, the console market continued to consolidate, and it emphasized online multiplayer more than any generation before it. Microsoft and Sony competed directly for the hardcore gamer market, focusing on high-end visually stunning games. The Xbox 360 (released in 2005) and PlayStation 3 (2006) each sold about 80 million units (although these final numbers are misleading, because the Xbox 360 outsold the PlayStation 3 for seven years).

But it was Nintendo, focusing on the casual gamer, emphasizing family-friendly games, that won the market. The Nintendo Wii (2006), with a unique easy-to-use motion controller, sold over 100 million units. Nintendo avoided the competition and went for a larger market, similar to the strategy that Sony employed for the PlayStation in the fifth generation.

### **Eighth Generation**

The eighth generation console market is still fading into the ninth. This generation was marked by an increasing reliance on digitally-downloaded games. Rather than storing games on a disk that gamers buy at the store, almost all games can be downloaded.

---

<sup>10</sup> There are technical differences that determine which CDs a system can read, but it's much easier to overcome these differences because CDs are all the same size.



In this generation, Sony sold more than 100 million PlayStation 4 consoles (it was released in 2013), while Microsoft's Xbox One (also released in 2013) only sold about half that number. A major reason for the difference is that Microsoft's first announcement for the Xbox One included limitations on sharing games with friends. Gamers revolted, and Microsoft reluctantly reversed the decision, but the damage was done. Sony also went back to its roots and made a big push for encouraging independent developers.

Nintendo's initial entry for this generation, the Wii U, did not sell very well (poor branding made gamers perceive it as an add-on to the Wii). It was quickly discontinued and replaced with the Nintendo Switch, which [came close](#) to becoming the best-selling console of all time. The Switch, however, is a hybrid design. It can be used as a console or carried around and used as a handheld gaming device. It is not as powerful as other consoles, and not as much of a direct competitor.<sup>11</sup>

## **The Ninth Generation<sup>12</sup>**

The ninth generation of consoles began right in the heart of the COVID-19 pandemic, with Sony's PlayStation 5 (PS5) and Microsoft's Xbox Series X both released in 2020. The Nintendo Switch is sometimes included in this generation, but it doesn't quite fit.<sup>13</sup> The main feature for this generation is an emphasis on extraordinary computing power, loading speed, and visual realism. Console gaming has also become almost fully digital.

The PS5 and Xbox Series X are both backwards compatible with older consoles and focused heavily on cloud gaming. And without much difference between the two, Sony's advantage has been carried from the previous generation. At the time of this writing, the PS5 is outselling the Xbox Series X (and its other version, the S), by [twice as many units](#).<sup>14</sup>

## **Handhelds (1990s to now)**

The "handheld console" story is not as dramatic. It has been dominated by Nintendo since the very beginning.

The first successful handheld console was the Nintendo Game Boy, released in 1989. Several other handhelds, or consoles that could be carried and played without a TV,

---

<sup>11</sup> Each of the eighth generation of consoles have all made it on Statistica's list of the [best-selling consoles](#). A nice summary of this generation is available on [History-Computer](#).

<sup>12</sup> In the original version of this blog post, Google's Stadia was mentioned as a potential new entry for the ninth generation of consoles. My assessment at the time was negative, and the Stadia project has since been shut down. The biggest frustration for gamers was that Stadia's game library was too small.

<sup>13</sup> The Switch 2 is expected to come out in the second half of 2024.

<sup>14</sup> Sony has sold close to 500 million PlayStation consoles of all types, making it the best-selling console brand of all time (when adding PS5 sales totals to the confirmed [450 million units](#) sold at the end of 2019).

were attempted in the 70s and 80s, but the technology was not ready. These early devices were functionally interesting, but did not have enough portability to make them attractive (they didn't have enough battery life). The Game Boy was not as advanced as the other handhelds available at the time, but it was much cheaper and had a much longer battery life, two of the most important features for handheld popularity. By 1990, the Game Boy had a [US market share of 93%](#), making Nintendo the undisputed leader in both consoles and handheld consoles.

Since then, Nintendo has never been seriously challenged in the handheld console market. Nintendo continued producing more advanced variations of the Game Boy handheld system for 20 years, and the combination of the Game Boy and the Game Boy Advance sold a total of over 200 million units during their lifetimes. A major reason for this extended success (and the common theme among consoles) was the robust library of games: many old NES games were “ported” to Game Boy, and the launch of the *Pokémon* franchise (a franchise with a fan base that could rival *Star Wars*) kept the console fresh in the late 90s.

The Game Boy family of handhelds was gradually replaced by the Nintendo DS after 2004, a handheld that sold over 150 million units. These were phased out after the release of the Nintendo 3DS in 2011, a handheld that sold about 75 million units. The 3DS itself was also discontinued in 2020, but the spiritual successor is the Nintendo Switch, which can be used as a TV console or a handheld console.

The only company to offer a real alternative is Sony. Sony released the PlayStation Portable (PSP) in 2004 as a competitor to the Nintendo DS. It was the most powerful handheld console of its time, and was designed to work with the PlayStation 2 and PlayStation 3. It sold 80 million units in its lifetime—impressive, but nowhere near Nintendo. Nothing could dislodge Nintendo from its ownership of this market.

But the handheld market is changing. Now there is a serious new threat—mobile phones. Mobile phones are functionally similar to handheld consoles, and they can do almost all of the same things. They also have continuously growing game libraries supported by millions of small game developers. There will always be demand for high quality handheld consoles (meaning there will always be demand for Nintendo products), but casual gamers have accepted mobile phones as an adequate replacement.

## **End of Part 1**

The rule behind the market for consoles is what economists call a two-sided market. On one side is the content (the number and quality of games available for the console), and on the other side is the consumers (the people choosing which games to play)—and the console with the best content is usually the most successful. What matters for

investment timing is the industry cycle, which resets with every new console generation. There is always a big boom in business at the start of a generation, when consumers upgrade their systems.

From a really long-term view, the technology for consoles has followed the same pattern as the rest of the computer industry: devices continue to become smaller, more powerful, and more interactive. The only difference is that consoles are used primarily for games.

The market for consoles has also followed a familiar pattern—it opened with many small competitors, exploded, and re-formed into a small number of major companies. To compete in this market today requires a massive investment in technology and (more importantly) another massive investment in a large video game library, something that the established players have taken decades to build. There will be no small startups here.

The market for the actual games, however, is an entirely different story.

## **Part 2: Software (Games)**

To understand the software side of the video game industry, we need to start with the relationship between the hardware side and the software side. One of the earliest complaints about Atari, and later Nintendo, was that their market dominance in consoles gave them the ability to make expensive demands on independent game developers. Atari was annoying, but Nintendo was ruthless. To publish a game on the NES, developers were required to give Nintendo the exclusive rights for two years, and Nintendo controlled the supply of NES cartridges. If your team was not affiliated with the console manufacturer that dominated the market, then your games would not sell.

As a result, Nintendo became the target of an antitrust lawsuit that covered all 50 states. The company lost the suit, but was only required to pay \$5 million *in coupons*. After this experience, Nintendo chose to allow third parties to make their own cartridges, likely influenced by the fear of further lawsuits.<sup>15</sup> Sony later proved that Nintendo's aggressive approach was wrong; when Sony welcomed outside developers, its extensive game library attracted more players and led to the sustained success of PlayStation consoles.

The concept of an outside developer, known as a third-party developer, is defined by who owns the game developer that produces games. A first-party game is one that is made by the same company that makes the console. For example, all Nintendo-made games for Nintendo consoles are first-party games. Games made by first-party

---

<sup>15</sup> This story is featured in the book *The Ultimate History of Video Games*. Background information for many of the companies in this section was also sourced from that book.

developers are almost always exclusive to that company's console. A third-party game is made by an independent game developer and is usually available on many different gaming platforms.

The category of third-party developers is divided into two different responsibilities: developers and publishers. The best comparison is to imagine the difference between book writers and book publishers. For this part, we need a little more context.

- Game developers do all of the programming to make the game. They have timelines and guidance to follow that is set by their publishers.
- Game publishers advertise and distribute the game. They pay the developers a royalty based on the game's performance.
- In many cases, game developers publish their own games, or the developer is owned by the publisher.

The story of third-party developers is complicated and constantly evolving. Thousands of developers have been formed, bought out, shut down, and re-formed again. And only a few major independent game publishers/developers still exist today (in order of size: Electronic Arts, Take-Two Interactive, and Ubisoft).<sup>16</sup>

This is how they do business.

## **A Brief History of Third-Party Developers**

The earliest third-party developers and publishers were founded by former programmers. The world's first third-party game developer was formed in 1979 when a team of Atari programmers left the company to found [Activision](#).<sup>17</sup> They were unhappy with their treatment at Atari (by then it was owned by Warner Communications), and they desired more flexibility on the type of games they were developing. Atari did not credit the developers who designed and programmed their games, and they were paid the same low wage no matter how well the game sold. But, as a third-party developer, these programmers would be credited for their achievements and paid for their performance. Activision opened the market for third-party developers.

Electronic Arts (EA) was founded in 1982 by Trip Hawkins, an early Apple employee. EA used Activision's strategy for attracting good game designers and took it a step farther than giving more credit and more pay—EA treated them like rock stars. Backed by Don Valentine, the investor who funded both Atari and Apple during their early stages, and filled with talented programmers, EA was instantly successful.

---

<sup>16</sup> Activision Blizzard was originally part of this list but has since been acquired by Microsoft.

<sup>17</sup> Steve Jobs, founder of Apple, is Atari's most famous former employee, but the Activision founders are also former Atari programmers.

The market blossomed, and more than 100 third-party game developers were in operation by the end of 1982. It was easy for new game developers to get funding from investment firms looking to capture a piece of the market, but the conflict of making good games vs. making money contributed to a flood of cheap, low quality games.

Consumers simply abandoned the market, and the video game crash of 1983 eliminated almost all of the third-party developers. Activision and EA both switched focus from console games to computer games, which were not as severely affected by the crash. Both companies also began to buy out their competitors and development partners. EA made it a central strategy of their business, but Activision struggled for years before getting rescued by Bobby Kotick in 1992.

The revival of the video game industry in the late 1980s and early 1990s saw another explosion of new video game developers. But these new game developers were much more conscious of emphasizing quality in their games, and console manufacturers had much higher standards.

It was the computer game market, however, that offered the most experimentation. Computers have always been more powerful and more customizable than consoles. It is easier to design a game for a computer, and computers bypass the complicated relationship that comes with console hardware companies. They also opened the market to players who would never consider a console.

Since the early 1990s, computer games have pioneered technology, gameplay, and new ways to make money. And each type of game contributed in its own way—we are no longer looking at a chronological story, but a categorical division.

For those who are not familiar with games, here's a brief description of what we're covering:

- **Casual Games:** Simple games that are easy to learn and can be played for short periods of time. Mobile phone games are the modern version of casual games.
- **First-Person Shooters:** Shown from the perspective of a warrior or soldier that shoots at enemies. This type of game is often the target of government inquiries about violence in video games.
- **Role-Playing Games:** Players act out the role of a character and follow a story, like an interactive movie or novel.
- **Sports Games:** Sports like soccer, football, basketball, and baseball have become very popular as video games.
- **Strategy & Simulation Games:** Strategy games and simulation games are different categories, but they both require planning ahead and managing

resources. A strategy game might be a general commanding an army, while a simulation game could be a city planner designing a city.

## Casual Games Become Apps

A casual game is easy to learn, easy to play, and does not take much time. The most popular casual game of all time was probably solitaire, which Microsoft first bundled with Windows 3.0 in 1990. Although Microsoft did not try to make money on solitaire, this short, time-wasting distraction of a game has long been a feature of office culture, and it led the way for the future of casual games. By the late 90s and early 2000s, there were many websites devoted to casual games. These websites had games that were free to play and made money by offering advertising space.

Near the end of the 2000s decade, more casual games appeared on social media websites. By 2011, Zynga, maker of *FarmVille* and *CityVille* (the latter of which peaked at over 100 million active users), had [four of the top five](#) social games, primarily connected to Facebook.<sup>18</sup> With social media games, the business model changed; the games were still free, but bonus items or extra time were offered for sale inside the game. These sales, called microtransactions, were part of a strategy called the “freemium” model. The freemium model means providing the games for free and making sales inside the game. As you probably know, this way of making money has followed the transition into mobile gaming.

Zynga, however, has not made the transition. The current leader in mobile games is King, maker of the extremely popular *Candy Crush* games.<sup>19</sup> King is a mobile game company that began as a website in 2003, expanded into social media platforms in 2011, and connected everything to new mobile games in 2012. In 2016, King was purchased by Activision.

## First-Person Shooters Drive Distribution Methods

The most famous first-person shooter game of all time is probably *Doom*, a game franchise created by id Software in 1993 (*Wolfenstein 3D*, also by id Software, came first, but *Doom* is more well-known).<sup>20</sup> id Software, founded in 1991, used a form of game distribution called shareware.<sup>21</sup> The company would release a partial game for free, called the “shareware” version, that gamers were encouraged to share with their

---

<sup>18</sup> *FarmVille*, *CityVille*, *Words With Friends*, and *Mafia Wars*.

<sup>19</sup> *Candy Crush* has had remarkable [longevity and profitability](#) for a mobile game. Even in the first half of 2023, more than a decade after it was first developed, *Candy Crush* and its variants add up to be the [top mobile game](#) for the year.

<sup>20</sup> A game franchise is a series of games that share the same world and history. It works the same way movie franchises work—for example, the *Star Wars* franchise is a set of movies that take place in the same universe.

<sup>21</sup> *Masters of Doom* by David Kushner is a great book about the history of the company.

friends. Then, if players liked the shareware version, they could call the company to order the full version of the game.

This method of popularizing games was common in the 1990s. As the market evolved, new methods for distributing game software became possible. At first it was “expansion packs” that added substantial additional new content to a previous game, sometimes as much as an entirely new game. But these were still sold in boxes. It was not until the early 2000s that Internet connections became fast enough to sell smaller pieces of content. This new innovation allowed selling individual items, or maps, or clothing, as one small package that could be downloaded. These products, called downloadable content (DLC), have continued to become an increasingly higher share of revenues for video game developers.<sup>22</sup>

As online features became more important, developers have adopted even more creative distribution methods. The next influential first-person shooter was *Half-Life*, released in 1998. It was created by Valve, a company formed by two former Microsoft employees in 1996. In 2003, Valve opened a new digital distribution platform that it called Steam. The initial purpose of the platform was for Valve to publish its games online where they could be sold and downloaded without visiting a store. But the platform became a way for smaller developers to publish their games and sell DLC content. This “indie” developer platform continues to flourish. Steam’s market share for PC downloads is [about 75%](#).

Outside of Valve’s powerful platform, it was Activision that secured market dominance in first-person shooters. The *Call of Duty (CoD)* series, first published by Activision in 2003, is by far the best-selling FPS franchise of all time, with [more than 425 million units sold](#). The core advantage of *CoD* is that its enormous popularity generates more sales for the next game; primarily an online multiplayer game, gamers buy it for the game but stay for their friends. The longer these players continue playing, the more content that Activision can sell inside the game. And this content has continuously involved smaller game segments and smaller transactions. DLC has become microtransactions.

This trend was taken to the extreme with the FPS game *Fortnite*. *Fortnite* was released by Epic Games in 2017 as a free game. Instead of charging for the game, like *CoD*, *Fortnite* copied the freemium model of mobile games; the game is free, but players can buy special content inside the game to improve their game experience. In 2018, sales of this special content earned [\\$2.4 billion](#), more than any other game has ever made in a single year.

*Fortnite*’s influence generated new ideas for game design that were shamelessly copied by Activision, and *CoD* continues to be the perennial giant of the genre.

---

<sup>22</sup> EA first tested the idea of DLC in [1998](#).

## Role-Playing Games (RPGs) Introduce Monthly Subscriptions

The all-time best-selling RPG franchise, by far, is Nintendo's *Pokémon* series. But that's a first-party, console-based game that you can only find on Nintendo consoles. The third-party giants of the RPG genre are Square Enix (developers of the *Final Fantasy* and *Monster Hunter* franchises) and Bethesda (developers of *The Elder Scrolls* and *Fallout*). Both companies entered the video game industry shortly after the video game crash of 1983, and both have slightly different development strategies. Square Enix is more of a console developer, and generally chooses to release its games to largest console of the current generation. Bethesda is more of a computer game developer; Bethesda releases its games on *every* system that will allow it (and *re-releases* them as many times as possible).

The reason these franchises have done so well is not just because they're good games. It's partly because there are so many of them. [More than 100](#) *Final Fantasy* titles have been released or re-released over the past 30 years. The more impressive RPG developers take a long time to develop their games, about five to ten years, and aim for selling a massive game that can break individual game sales records without reaching the top of the franchise records.

This is the strategy for Blizzard Entertainment, with its *Diablo* franchise.<sup>23</sup> Blizzard, founded in 1991, came in at the ideal time for developers interested in producing a high quality computer game experience. Computers were becoming more powerful and more popular, and the technology advancements of the 90s made it possible for developers to keep pushing the edge of computer power.

In 1996, Blizzard released its first *Diablo* game. It was an unexpected success, becoming the best-selling computer game for the first half of 1997. And it maintained extraordinary popularity through the end of 2000, when *Diablo II* was released. *Diablo II* was even more successful. It won several "game of the year" awards, and is considered one of the best games of all time.<sup>24</sup>

By sales numbers alone, these are obviously great games, but one of the most influential features of the *Diablo* franchise is the Battle.net service that came with it. Battle.net allowed *Diablo* players to play the game with each other over the Internet (an ambitious feature for a game from 1996). The service was free, and it gave the series longevity that would not have existed as a single-player game alone.

---

<sup>23</sup> Take-Two Interactive also uses the same development strategy for its *Grand Theft Auto* and *Red Dead Redemption* franchises. These two games are typically not classified as RPG games because they are missing many essential RPG elements, but they follow the same plan of having one major release every few years, rather than introducing a new title each year.

<sup>24</sup> *Diablo III*, released in 2012, was even more successful. *Diablo IV* was released in 2023.



Blizzard translated this online experience into a new game, *World of Warcraft (WoW)*, that it released in 2004. *WoW* is an online game categorized as a Massively Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Game (MMORPG). It is an extension of one of Blizzard's other franchises, the *Warcraft* series, but the model of an online game was directly influenced by Battle.net. It became the best-selling PC game of [2005 and 2006](#), and peaked at 12 million subscribers in 2010. *WoW* is still the [number one game in its category](#), and has made more [than \\$10 billion](#) for Blizzard since it was first released. Blizzard merged with Activision in 2008 to form Activision Blizzard.

*WoW* was not the first MMORPG (there were several others that came before, with varying degrees of popularity), but it had one of the most consistent and engaging stories, and the game was carefully designed to encourage social interaction within that world. *WoW*, and the other MMORPGs like it, have become RPGs that charge a monthly fee for access—they are now a subscription service (sometimes called “Games-as-a-Service” or GaaS). Instead of buying the game once and playing it forever, players have to buy the game and continue paying for it every month for as long as they want to play.<sup>25</sup>

## **Sports Games Become Big Brand Names**

Sports games have been around for a long time. The very first sports game (sometimes recognized as the very first video game) was a tennis game designed for a radar screen in [1958](#). Over time, these games have become more realistic and more complex, but we're skipping to the 1990s, where 3D graphics and professional sports licenses began to dominate the market.

The longest-running sports game franchise is *Madden NFL* football, first developed by Electronic Arts in 1988. *Madden* was exceptional among sports games for two reasons: it was as realistic as computers of the time could handle; and, after 1993, accelerated the trend of licensing professional sports leagues to create video game content. Since the first game was released, the franchise has sold [over 130 million copies](#), but it's a distant second from the top game.

*FIFA*, first released in 1993, was EA's natural extension of the professional sports licensing strategy. This soccer franchise, more popular worldwide, has sold over [325 million copies](#), more than twice as many as *Madden*. Both *FIFA* and *Madden* have become yearly best-sellers with numerous features and items for sale inside the game.

Other sports are less concentrated. Take-Two Interactive often has the leading baseball and basketball games. But they are not as popular and not as well-branded, and the

---

<sup>25</sup> Some MMORPGs use the freemium model described earlier.

licensing deals are not as profitable. EA offered to buy Take-Two in 2008, but the deal was [rejected](#).

## Strategy & Simulation Games as Buyout Candidates

Major game developers are always involved with many different types of games and multiple franchises inside the same type of game. But smaller developers generally specialize in one type of game, and sometimes only one franchise. There are thousands of small developers. And when they become successful, they have to make a choice: continue developing games as an independent company (and retain the creative vision), or sell out to a larger company (and gain access to more resources).

Every successful business is constantly choosing between those two options, but game development is unique. It is very similar to the biotech world, where smaller companies are all working on their own unproven drugs, and larger companies are waiting to buy out the ones that are proven to be successful. The smaller game companies have the freedom to test their concept, but they may not have the resources to continue developing new games; on the other side, the larger companies can allow someone else to take the risk of a failed game, and make investments in what is already successful.

This is a central strategy for Electronic Arts.<sup>26</sup> EA has made more than 40 acquisitions, and a primary reason behind their interest in an investment is often one very successful franchise.<sup>27</sup> Two of the most successful targets were pioneers in strategy game and simulation game development. Maxis (founded in 1987 and purchased by EA in [1997](#)), and Westwood Studios (founded in 1985 and purchased by EA in [1998](#)).

At the time of EA's acquisition, Maxis was known for its *SimCity* franchise, a series of city management simulation games with no winning objective. Maxis attempted to develop other types of games, but these investments destroyed the company's finances, and EA stepped in to save it. EA allowed Will Wright, the genius behind Maxis, to extend the *SimCity* universe into a new franchise of games called *The Sims*.

The first *Sims* game became the best-selling computer game for the year in [2000](#) and [2001](#), and became the best-selling computer game of all time by 2003. *The Sims* franchise still ranks near the top of the list for the best-selling computer game franchise of all time. EA closed Maxis in 2015, after all of the original Maxis team had left and the games were no longer meeting EA's ambitious standards (although EA later relaunched the brand to develop more *Sims* games).

---

<sup>26</sup> Microsoft has also been active buying developers to build its game library.

<sup>27</sup> There is an entire [Wikipedia page](#) devoted to cataloguing EA's numerous acquisitions.

Westwood Studios was a similar story. When EA bought Westwood Studios, it was already a subsidiary of a much larger video game company, but a series of failed games led to the breakup of the parent company. From the wreckage, [EA got Westwood](#), known for its real-time strategy franchise called *Command & Conquer*.

Under EA's direction, Westwood released several new games for the *Command & Conquer* series. The games continued to be popular but suffered from impossible expectations. Like many developers that get acquired, the [corporate culture shifted](#) from making video games as a passion to making them purely for profit, and quality suffered. EA shut down Westwood Studios in 2003, only five years after the acquisition.<sup>28</sup>

## End of Part 2

The software side of the video game industry has consolidated over time. Most of the major publishers have either collapsed or have been absorbed by a larger company. Even Activision Blizzard, once considered “too big to buy,” was acquired by Microsoft in 2023. However, major publishers are known for developing “AAA” titles. There are still hundreds of smaller developers and publishers creating video games, and there is still room for them to make money in the industry without making a huge investment. It only takes one exceptionally successful game for an unknown company to become big.

Sustained success is still rare. While smaller publishers and developers can get by without a big budget, they still need to make a popular game, and they still need to turn that popularity into profit. Fortunately, monetization has become easier over time, with several strategies for making money in this business:

- Digital distribution through platforms like Steam.
- Selling products inside a game or advertising inside a game.
- Downloadable content that extends a game's lifetime.
- Monthly subscriptions that create consistent revenue.

These methods have emerged from years of evolution in the industry, but business strategy is not the only change. The games themselves are becoming more accessible, more online, and more expandable.

They are also becoming more competitive.

## Part 3: The Future of Gaming

The future of video games will continue to build from the trends of the past.

---

<sup>28</sup> EA eventually brought back the Westwood team to remaster the original *Command & Conquer* game, which was released in 2020. Updating old games for modern systems is an increasingly popular strategy.

For consoles, this means creating the most attractive video game library. And the largest game libraries secure the future by linking the past—the ability to play older games on new consoles (backwards compatibility) will be a core feature of all future consoles. Gaming accounts that are created today can be transferred to the consoles of the next generation. The success of past consoles will carry into the sales of every console that comes next. Gamers who buy one company’s console will be much more likely to stick with the same brand.

The strategy that makes this possible is the increasing use of digital downloads. Game libraries are no longer made entirely with a physical shelf full of disks, but instead can simply be an account with a list of games associated with it (a “digital shelf”). The console knows which games the player owns, and they can download these games whenever they want to play. And a game that is fully digital can be designed to work with future consoles much easier than a game on a disk. When the account transfers to the next console, so does the player’s game library.

Digital downloads are also the future of the games themselves—selling the games through a digital distribution network has become the norm, but selling items inside a game is also a primary strategy that will never go away. No game is ever fully complete; it can be broken into thousands of pieces that are each sold individually, sometimes over many years.

But this main theme—a gaming industry that is more online and more digital—is overshadowed by the flashiest opportunity in the future of gaming: eSports. To understand what this means, let’s take one more peek into the past.

## **eSports Become Real Sports**

Arcade tournaments have been around since the 1970s and 1980s. These were primarily competitions to see which players could achieve the highest score in specific arcade games. They were not directly playing against other players.

This changed in the 1990s, when fighting games allowed a direct competition between two players, rather than competing by high scores alone. As these games evolved in complexity and scope, and first-person shooters connected with computers through the Internet, eSports competitions became increasingly common (with increasingly higher payouts). The first professional gamers started with games like *Doom*.

But most of the organizations behind eSports in the 1990s were small and informal, and the types of sponsorships that exist for professional sports leagues were virtually nonexistent. It was the 2000s that began to see the rise of annual international tournaments and professional gaming leagues devoted to eSports. The founding of Major League Gaming (MLG) in 2002 marks the shift into corporate sponsorship.

In the early 2000s, the two most popular categories of eSports games were first-person shooters (FPS), real-time strategy games (RTS), and sports games.

The most widely played FPS eSports games include some familiar names:<sup>29</sup>

- *Quake*, made by id Software in 1996, supported one of the first major professional eSports scenes. Its popularity faded within a decade, replaced by more modern games.
- *Counterstrike*, released by Valve in 2000 as a spinoff of *Half-Life*, continues to be a popular series for eSports (a modernized version came out in 2012). *Counterstrike* has about 6,000 active professional teams and a total prize pool of more than \$130 million.
- *Call of Duty*, published by Activision, has been featured in MLG tournaments since 2008. Active team count is difficult to determine because the game is played on so many different types of systems, but *CoD* has a total prize pool of at least \$50 million among three different games. MLG was purchased by Activision Blizzard in 2016.
- *Fortnite*, developed by Epic Games in 2017, has quickly become a stable eSports category, with a prize pool of more than \$110 million.

With RTS games, there is not as much variety. *StarCraft*, a series first started by Blizzard in 1998, remains the leading eSports RTS, with a prize pool of more than \$40 million among two different games. EA's *FIFA* series is the leading sports eSports game, with a prize pool of more than \$15 million.

All of these eSports categories are dwarfed by a newer type of game: the Multiplayer Online Battle Arena (MOBA). A MOBA is a modification of an RTS. In an RTS, the player is commanding an entire army by themselves. In a MOBA, several players are each controlling individual characters within an elite army squad, facing an enemy team with the same number of players. There are two major MOBAs:

- *DOTA 2*, which originated as a spinoff of an RTS game, was released by Valve in 2013. *DOTA 2* has more than 2,000 active professional teams, with a prize pool of more than \$310 million.
- *League of Legends (LoL)*, created by Riot Games in 2009, has more than 3,500 active professional teams, with a total prize pool of more than \$100 million.

*LoL* (most watched), *DOTA 2* (most prize money), and *Counterstrike* (most active professional teams) are considered the “big three” of eSports. If we stretch it to four,

---

<sup>29</sup> Team count and prize pool numbers come from [Esports Charts](#). Prize pool is the amount of money paid out from tournaments since 2017.

then *Fortnite* would come close. While *CoD* is easily the leader for console eSports, no other games have challenged the big three (or four) in their dominance of the PC eSports market. New games have been introduced with as much as \$100 million of eSports investments, but almost all of them have quickly folded after failing to gain enough popularity to earn back the investment.<sup>30</sup>

It is difficult to build enough popularity to support new eSports. This market—even with continually high growth in viewers, increasing prize payouts, and major corporate sponsorships—may be reaching a mature stage, where most of the growth goes to the top games, and most of the top games are owned by the same companies. *LoL* was made by Riot Games, which is owned by Tencent. Tencent also owns a large piece of Epic Games, the maker of *Fortnite*. *DOTA 2* and *Counterstrike* are both Valve games. *StarCraft* and *CoD* belong to Activision Blizzard (and Microsoft). Every major video game developer has made some investment into eSports, but only the largest video game companies have the resources to create their own.

eSports are a big deal, and they will continue to be a big deal. The [eSports market](#) is projected to grow from \$1.5 billion in 2022 to almost \$7 billion in 2030, a growth rate of more than 20% annually, while [eSports viewership](#) is projected to surpass 600 million viewers by 2025. And although eSports projections have been consistently over-optimistic, the potential is real.

## Ongoing Trends

Beyond eSports, there are several consistent trends in the industry. Decades of development have transformed the video game industry from a chaotic group of startups into a stable group of corporate giants. It has also become more online, more continuously supported, more monetized, more competitive, more casual, and more mobile. These massive changes make an industry that would be unimaginable from the technology that started it all. Even the comparison from one generation of consoles to the next generation reveals an impressive leap in technology.

And the transformation continues. The future of the gaming is influenced by many of the same features that affect every other technology company.

## Market Concentration

The most successful video game developers do one of three things. All of these three things contribute to a more concentrated industry:

- They put their competitors out of business with superior financial resources.

---

<sup>30</sup> Mobile games have started to climb up the list of most popular and biggest-paying eSports title.

- They buy out smaller competitors that have proven to consistently make popular games.
- Or they sell themselves to larger companies.

Even Activision Blizzard, once the largest independent game publisher—made from the combination of three very successful companies—was itself swallowed by Microsoft. And EA, the next largest independent publisher, uses acquisitions as a central strategy. But the biggest gaming company is actually Tencent, the Chinese technology conglomerate that owns a little bit of everything.

The shift in concentration is focused on Asia in general and China in particular. The Asian market represents about [one third](#) to [one half](#) of the global video game market (depending on how you measure it), while China has [more video game players](#) than any other country in the world. And the most effective way to get into China is to partner with Tencent.

## Mobile Gaming

The biggest growth market for games is mobile phones—mobile gaming already represents [50% of all video game revenue](#), and is projected to grow by more than [15% annually](#) over the next decade.<sup>31</sup> Mobile games are popular among people who are not traditional gamers, such as women and older people, and are extraordinarily popular in Asia.

Almost all mobile games are monetized through advertisements and/or microtransactions. Tencent, Activision Blizzard (Microsoft), and Take-Two are all investing heavily in new mobile games. Nintendo continues to avoid mobile gaming on phones and focus more on its own handheld consoles.

## Digital Sales<sup>32</sup>

The “middle man” of retail stores has consistently become less important in the video game industry. In 2022, 90% of all video game sales were [digital](#)—15 years earlier, it was less than 20%. Digital download platforms like Valve’s Steam service have captured most of this change, but the video game developers also make more money on digital sales. The cost of selling one more download is much less than manufacturing a disk and using shelf space inside a store.

There is still a place for physical sales, especially when it comes to buying a new gaming device like a console. Console owners also like to have physical disks that they can re-sell or share with friends, but the industry prefers to be digital.

---

<sup>31</sup> [Visual Capitalist](#) has a great source of data demonstrating the rise of mobile gaming.

<sup>32</sup> [Gameopedia](#) has an excellent article on how game storage media and sales have changed over time.

A consequence of digital distribution is how games are becoming perfected over time. The biggest video game companies have released a few games that sold well in the beginning but were quickly abandoned by the players. Gamers know what they like. And if they don't get what they like, then they walk away.

In the past, substandard games would become a black mark on the company's reputation. But now these mistakes can be fixed. The Internet enables development to continue after the game has already been sold (sometimes included with a monthly fee). The company can add new features or fix broken features until the game is good enough to match the high standards of their fans.<sup>33</sup> It can also extend the life of an old game for a long time after it would normally stop selling.

This is a controversial trend. Games *should* be ready when they are released, and not just after the customers complain. But it's better for the industry when mistakes can be fixed, and it's better for the gamers when their favorite games continue to be supported. The video game crash of 1983 showed what happens when too many bad games flood the market and gamers abandon the industry.

Another part of the digital theme is the increasing use of cloud systems for gaming, where the games are played from the cloud. I have been skeptical of this concept for many years, but it has proven useful for the right context—when a gaming system is not powerful enough or doesn't have enough storage space for a game. Microsoft, Sony, and NVIDIA all offer options for cloud gaming.

The last—and *most important*—part of digital sales is the increasing use of microtransactions. The idea of selling things inside a game is a feature of both universal Internet connections and the spread of mobile games. Most mobile games are free play and make money by selling things inside the game. But paid games can have microtransactions too.

Industry data on microtransactions is inconsistent, but Valve's Steam platform represents a good proxy, and [about 75% to 80%](#) of all Steam sales are microtransactions. This is another controversial trend. Most gamers are willing to accept the ability to pay for cosmetic changes. But being forced to buy something that should be included in the core game, or being forced to "pay to win," are deeply unpopular features in the gaming community.

So far, most video game developers have respected gamers' demands to not be exploited by excessive microtransactions, but EA has come dangerously close to crossing the line where regulation affects the industry. Some of these systems are

---

<sup>33</sup> A recent example is CD Projekt Red's *Cyberpunk 2077*, a game that had so many problems it forced Sony and Microsoft to [offer refunds](#) to anyone who bought it on release. It took about three years of updates to be considered a great game.



designed to be as addictive as gambling, and in some cases there is functionally no difference between a microtransaction payment and a slot machine.<sup>34</sup> This is a serious ethical concern that will become more significant as regulators gain a better understanding of the industry—lawsuits about the use of microtransactions are already common.

## Virtual Reality

Progress in Virtual Reality (VR) has been slow, but it has grown into an independent category of gaming. The first VR gaming systems were developed in the early 1990s, but Nintendo's Virtual Boy VR console was the most serious attempt at commercializing the technology for video games. Released in 1995, it was a commercial failure. And commercial failures for VR systems continued for the next 20 years.

VR technology has continued to mature. Dozens of VR systems have been introduced since 2015, each with a slightly different design—some are attached to consoles or PCs, some are independent consoles, and some hold mobile devices that can use VR software. Generally, these systems require a large open space to operate safely, and many gamers have trouble using VR for long periods of time, so adoption relies on overcoming technical challenges.

But the market has been established, and it is expected to explode. The market for VR headsets is projected to grow by about [30%](#) every year through 2030. And like other consoles, it will be the combination of technical features and game library that determines which headsets become the most popular.<sup>35</sup>

VR Headset manufacturers are mostly made up of very large companies that bought the technology from somewhere else (like Facebook's acquisition of Oculus) or developed it on their own (like Sony). But this market also includes firms that develop the games, the platforms to buy the games, and businesses that build the technology used by VR devices.<sup>36</sup> I still consider it to be in the early stages.

## Back Catalogues

We will certainly have more powerful computers and more powerful consoles, and more carefully crafted games, but there is also a movement for appreciating the great games of the past. Every video game company has a "back catalogue" of old games that still have value. "Remastering" by improving the old games, and re-releasing for modern systems, brings the classics back to life. Nintendo is currently one of the most prolific

---

<sup>34</sup> [Intelligent Economist](#) has a good summary of microtransactions and the psychology behind how they work.

<sup>35</sup> As VR technology improves, I expect the game library to be more important.

<sup>36</sup> Newzoo has an excellent summary of how the [VR ecosystem](#) is constructed.

users of a back catalogue, releasing several original NES and SNES games for the Switch, but other companies have used their back catalogues more profitably by updating the games to look more modern. Electronic Arts released a remastered version of the original *Command & Conquer* game in 2020, and Blizzard followed in the same month with a remastered version of its iconic *Diablo II* game. These games will continue to be valuable for as long as people are willing to buy them, and I expect that to be a long time.<sup>37</sup>

## End of Part 3

The remarkable growth of eSports rivals the popularity of “real” sports. And with that popularity comes the opportunity for significant new sources of revenue. Since this part of the video game market requires a large investment and is already concentrated among a small number of very large companies, the current industry leaders are likely to capture most of this growth.

The other ongoing trends in the industry are more open to smaller companies, because these trends mostly describe a shift in how businesses make money. The two most important themes have been the switch from physical disks to digital downloads and the rise of mobile gaming (both of which make it easier to sell games in the massive Asian markets). Ten years ago might have been a good time to invest in these themes, but it’s not too late to think about it, because they will continue to be influential over the next ten years as well. And VR gaming is growing into the mainstream, although it is also dominated by the largest companies in the industry.

How these major themes develop might be unpredictable, but the concentration of the market ensures that the current leaders will continue to be powerful, even if they are temporarily surprised.

## Conclusion

The stability in the video game industry has evolved from decades of innovation. Each generation of consoles is more powerful and more complex, and requires a bigger R&D investment each time. But the attraction of console technology is secondary to the game library available for each console—a powerful console is exciting, but it must have the right price and the right games.

The investment required to build a good game library prevents new entrants from surprising the console market, but it also ensures a more stable and predictable console cycle. Expectations about future consoles are set years in advance by companies that

---

<sup>37</sup> One of Microsoft’s motivations for purchasing Activision Blizzard was access to a healthy back catalogue of games that the company can remaster or re-release.

have a long history of proven success. With such careful planning, we are unlikely to see the dominance of an Atari or a Nintendo of the past, but we are also unlikely to see a spectacular collapse.

The market for video games has also become more stable and predictable, but in a different form. There are still large games produced by massive developers, but the rise of digital distribution methods has enabled small developers to reach a wider audience. It has also made this part of the industry more profitable for every gaming company, encouraging new sources of revenue such as downloadable content and microtransactions. But moving online to digital downloads is just a shift in strategy. The biggest growth markets for games over the past few years have been in mobile games and the Asian video game market. Both are now large portions of the video game market, and both are targets for video game companies of all sizes.

Beyond these major markets, there are other reasons to be excited about the video game industry right now. In the long-term, we have eSports and virtual reality, the next major growth markets for large video game companies (and maybe a few small developers).

From an investor's perspective, investing in this industry is a challenge because it has become so concentrated that the best video game businesses are almost always subsidiaries of larger companies with other lines of business. Any successful "pure plays" on the industry are all potential acquisition targets, because it is clear that the video game industry has evolved into a mature business with well-understood standards. But it still has opportunities to continue evolving as a valuable form of entertainment. Video games are not just for kids.

*Andrew Wagner*  
Chief Investment Officer  
Wagner Road Capital Management

## Marketing Disclosure

Wagner Road Capital Management, LLC (“Wagner Road”) offers investment advisory services and is registered with the state of Minnesota. Registration with one or more state securities authorities as a Registered Investment Adviser does not imply a certain level of skill or training nor does it constitute an endorsement of the advisory firm by the state securities authority. All content available in this blog is general in nature, not directed or tailored to any particular person, and is for informational purposes only. Neither this blog nor any of its content is offered as investment advice and should not be deemed as investment advice or a recommendation or offer to purchase or sell any specific security. The information contained herein reflects the opinions and projections of Wagner Road as of the date hereof, which are subject to change without notice at any time. Wagner Road does not represent that any opinion or projection will be realized. The information contained herein has been obtained from sources considered reliable, but neither Wagner Road nor any of its advisers, officers, directors, or affiliates represents that the information presented in this blog is accurate, current or complete, and such information is subject to change without notice. The information contained in this blog does not purport to be a complete description of the securities, markets, or developments referred to in this material. Any performance information must be considered in conjunction with applicable disclosures. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results. Neither this blog nor its contents should be construed as legal, tax, or other advice. Individuals are urged to consult with their own tax or legal advisors before entering into any advisory contract or investment.